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Bicyclomycin (1) is a structurally unique antibiotic ${ }^{1-4}$ with a novel chemical mechanism of activation. ${ }^{5}$ We and others have theorized that bicyclomycin function is associated with the covalent attachment of a nucleophilic protein residue (e.g., cysteine, histidine, lysine) to the $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})$ exomethylene group in $1 .{ }^{6-9}$ In 1993, we

$1 \mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$
$2 A=0$
demonstrated that the principal bicyclomycin target in Escherichia coli is the rho transcription termination factor. ${ }^{10}$ The bicyclomycin binding site in rho has not been identified. Structure-activity studies show that alterations of most functional groups within 1 lead to near total loss of biological activity. ${ }^{11,12}$ Only select C(5)modified bicyclomycins retain notable antimicrobial activities. ${ }^{11}$ These compounds were synthesized using bicyclomycin-5-norketone (2). ${ }^{11}$ We synthesized 2 in order to prepare C(5)-substituted bicyclomycin photoaffinity reagents and enzyme inactivators designed to identify the bicyclomycin binding domain. We report, herein, the oxidation of 1 to 2 and related products and

[^0]the oxidative and reductive chemistry of bicyclomycin 5 -norketone (2).

## Results and Discussion

A. Oxidative Transformations of Bicyclomycin (1) and Bicyclomycin-5-norketone (2). Müller and co-workers reported the large-scale synthesis ( 48 g ) of bicyclomycin-5-norketone (2) in an $81 \%$ yield by ozonolysis of a methanolic solution of 1 , followed by the addition of dimethyl sulfide. ${ }^{11}$ Attempts to repeat this transformation on a small scale ( 0.1 g ) produced a complex mixture. NMR analysis of the products in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ indicated the presence of 2 along with other adducts. Maintenance of the $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ solution at room temperature ( 2 d ) resulted in 3 as the sole detectable product. In the

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum for 3 the $\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ methine proton ( $\delta 4.66$ ) appeared downfield from the corresponding proton in 1 ( $\delta 4.08$ ), and in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum the two carboxamide carbonyl signals were observed at 162.00 and 162.66 ppm . The structural identity of 3 was determined from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$, COSY, HMQC, and HMBC NMR experiments and X-ray crystallographic analysis (Figure 1).

Substitution of EtOH in place of MeOH in the ozonolysis reaction of 1 led to partial precipitation of 2. This modification provided both improved yields of bicyclo-mycin-5-norketone (2) (91\%) and enriched samples ( $>87 \%$, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis). Attempts to purify 2 by recrystallization (methanol-ethyl acetate ${ }^{11}$ ) were unsuccessful. ${ }^{13}$ Structural support for 2 was provided by the NMR spectra taken in DMF- $d_{7}$. In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 2 the $\mathrm{C}(4)$ methylene protons ( $\delta 2.72-2.80,3.05-3.13$ ) appeared downfield compared with those in 1 (DMF- $d_{7}$, $\delta 2.55-2.58$ ), while in the corresponding ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum the C(5) carbonyl resonance was located at 203.88 ppm . A similar ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum for 2 was observed in THF-d. However, within 1 day 2 was converted to 4 , a major new product, along with a small amount of 3. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum for 4 contained signals at $155.23,157.14$, and 169.35 ppm for the piperazinetrione ring system, ${ }^{14}$ and the isolated mixture exhibited a $[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}$signal in the high resolution +CI mass spectrum consistent with 4. Addition of triphenylphosphine to a freshly prepared THF- $d_{8}$ sample of 6 diminished the extent of conversion of 2 to 4 and led to the production of triphenylphosphine oxide. ${ }^{15}$

The conversion of 2 to 3 and 4 in the $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ and THF$d_{8}$ solutions, respectively, and the detection of triphenylphosphine oxide when triphenylphosphine was added to THF- $d_{8}$ solutions of 2 indicated that either oxidants entrained in the ozonolysis product mixture or present in the reaction solvents were responsible for these

[^1]
## Scheme 1. Proposed Pathway for Formation of Compound 3
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Figure 1. ORTEP drawing for 3 showing the atom numbering scheme. The thermal ellipsoids are $50 \%$ equiprobability envelopes, with hydrogens as spheres of arbitrary diameter. Selected bond distances ( $\AA$ ) are as follows: C(1)-O(11), $1.221(4) ; \mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2), 1.546(4) ; \mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(12), 1.228(4) ; \mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)$, $1.336(4) ; \mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4), 1.447(4) ; \mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(10), 1.552(4) ; \mathrm{C}(10)-$ $\mathrm{O}(16), 1.337(4) ; \mathrm{O}(16)-\mathrm{C}(15), 1.477(4) ; \mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(13), 1.546(4)$; $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14), 1.520(4)$. Selected angles (deg) are as follows: $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{O}(11), 120.6(3) ; \mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(9), 113.6(3) ; \mathrm{C}(1)-$ $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(12), 123.7(3) ; \mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4), 124.3(3) ; \mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(10)-$ $\mathrm{O}(16), 109.2(3) ; \mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{O}(16)-\mathrm{C}(15), 112.0(2) ; \mathrm{O}(16)-\mathrm{C}(15)-$ $\mathrm{C}(13), 102.4(2) ; \mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(4), 103.2(2) ; \mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(4)-$ C(10), 101.3(2).
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transformations. Several likely candidates exist and include the hydroperoxides (i.e., $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ) formed when MeOH is added to carbonyl oxides ${ }^{16}$ generated during the Criegee cleavage of the initial ozonide. ${ }^{17}$ In agreement with this notion, addition of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (2 equiv) to a freshly prepared $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ solution of 2 gave 3 as the
predominant product within 1 day. ${ }^{15}$ An oxidative pathway for the conversion of 2 to 3 and 4 can be proposed based, in part, on a study of the peracid oxidation of $\alpha$-diketones to acid anhydrides ${ }^{18}$ (Scheme 1). In this mechanism, the hemiketal opening of 2 gives 5. Peroxide addition at either of the two ketone carbonyl sites yields initially an alcohol (6) and then an epoxide (7) intermediate. Subsequent carbon-carbon fragmentation produces anhydride 8 , which permits intramolecular cyclization by the $\mathbf{C}(9)$ amide group to give piperazinedione 9 . Ring fragmentation of 9 yields 4 and then 3 by an intramolecular lactonization process. Other mechanisms for the oxidation of 2 to 3 and 4 are conceivable. 18,19
Further evidence that the bicyclomycin ring framework can undergo oxidative fragmentation was obtained from the reaction of bicyclomycin with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and catalytic amounts of $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$. In addition to isolating the known hexol 10, ${ }^{11}$ we obtained lactone 11. Key spectral data for
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11 included the four resonances in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum

[^2]at $162.18,162.74,169.18$, and 210.53 ppm for the two oxamide, lactone, and ketone carbonyl carbons and the observation of the parent ion $\left([\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}\right)$in the high resolution + CI mass spectrum. We suspect that under the reaction conditions, 1 is converted to piperazinetrione 12. Generation of $\mathbf{1 2}$ facilitates intramolecular lactoniza-

tion and bond cleavage to give 11. Similarly, treatment of the $\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ diol-protected bicyclomycin acetonide ${ }^{20}$ (13) with $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ gave piperazinetrione 14.


B. Reductive Transformations of Bicyclomycin5 -norketone (2). $\mathrm{NaCNBH}_{3}$ reduction of 2 led to the stereospecific production of alcohol $15\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right.$ NMR analysis). ${ }^{21}$ Compound 15 was also generated upon catalytic reduction ( $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}_{2}, 1 \mathrm{~atm}$ ). The facility of this process mirrored the catalytic reduction of 1-acetylcyclohexanol ${ }^{22}$ (16). Correspondingly, pentol 15 could be prepared in near quantitative yield by ozonolysis of 1 at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in MeOH , followed by direct catalytic hydrogenation ( $10 \%$ $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{psi}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$ ) ${ }^{23}$ Attempts to determine the orientation of the $C(5)$ hydroxyl group by selective, onedimensional nOe experiments in DMF- $d_{7}$ were unsuccessful. This problem was resolved by sequential ozonolysis and catalytic reduction of bicyclomycin acetonide (13) to give alcohol 17. X-ray crystallographic analysis of 17 (supplementary materials, Figure 2) revealed the stereochemical orientation at $C(5)$ as $(R)$. When the acetonide group in 17 was removed, 15 resulted, which was identical to the compound obtained by catalytic or chemical reduction of 2 (TLC and NMR analyses).

$15 \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{H}$
$17 \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$
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Addition of aniline to an ethanolic solution of 2 generated the Schiff base 18 in situ. Both catalytic (Pd/ $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}_{2}$ ) and chemical $\left(\mathrm{NaCNBH}_{3}\right)$ reduction of 18 provided

[^3]amine 19, as a single isomer ( ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR analysis), along with 15. Attempts to determine the stereochemical orientation of the $\mathrm{C}(5)$ anilino group in 19 by selective, one-dimensional NOE experiments in DMF- $d_{7}$ were also unsuccessful.
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## Conclusions

A small-scale synthesis of bicyclomycin-5-norketone (2) was developed based on the ozonolysis procedure of Müller and co-workers. ${ }^{11}$ Compound 2 is easily oxidized and reduced. The previously determined structureactivity relationship for $C(5)$-modified bicyclomycins and the facility with which bicyclomycin-5-norketone undergoes chemical functionalization indicated that 2 could be useful in the design of bicyclomycin photoaffinity reagents and enzyme inactivators.

## Experimental Section

General Methods. The mass spectral studies were conducted at the University of Texas at Austin by Dr. M. Moini on a Finnegan MAT TSQ-70 instrument. The ozonolysis experiments were conducted using a Welsbach Model T-23 ozonator. The solvents and reactants were of the best commercial grade available and were used without further purification unless noted.

Preparation of (3S,4S,5S)-3-(2-Carboxyethoxy)-4-hydroxy-5-(hydroxymethyl)-5-methyl-3-oxamido- $\gamma$ lactone ${ }^{24}$ (3). Ozonolysis of Bicyclomycin (1) in $\mathbf{M e O H}$. A methanolic solution ( 35 mL ) of $1(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33$ mmol ) was treated with $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After approximately 2 min the solution became blue, and $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ was introduced into the reaction for an additional 5 min . Dimethyl sulfide ( $27 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and the solution was allowed to slowly warm to room temperature. The solvent was evaporated in vacuo, and the oily residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and allowed to stand at room temperature ( 2 d ). The solvent was removed in vacuo, dried, and then recrystallized from a $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{THF}$ (1:1) binary mixture to give 3 as a white solid: yield 93 mg ( $88 \%$ ); mp $179-181^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; FT-IR ( KBr ) $3468,3306,2984,2943,1784,1717,1669 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 1.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.55-2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72-3.85(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02-4.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3^{-}}$
(24) The IUPAC nomenclature system has been used for the names of each compound. The numbering system for the structural depictions for compounds $3,4,11$, and 14 corresponds to that employed for bicyclomycin.

OD) 17.42, 34.74, 61.79, 66.31, 72.44, 86.08, 88.47, $162.00,162.66,169.14,175.11 \mathrm{ppm}$; the proposed structure was consistent with the COSY, HMQC, HMBC NMR data; $\mathrm{MS}(+\mathrm{CI}) 321[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+} ; M_{\mathrm{r}}(+\mathrm{CI}) 321.09259[\mathrm{M}+$ 1] ${ }^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{9} 321.093$ 41). The proposed structure was verified by X-ray crystallography (Figure 1).

Preparation of 8,10-Diaza-6-hydroxy-1-(2-methyl-1,2,3-trihydroxypropyl)-5-oxo-1-oxabicyclo[4.2.2]-decane-7,9-dione. Generation of Bicyclomycin-5norketone (2). An anhydrous ethanolic solution ( 35 mL ) of $1(150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until a blue color appeared (approximately 2 min ). The solution was degassed with $\mathrm{Ar}(10 \mathrm{~min})$, and then dimethyl sulfide ( $300 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 4.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was allowed to slowly warm to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during which time the solution became cloudy. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was triturated with ethyl acetate and dried under vacuum to give 2 as a white solid: yield $137 \mathrm{mg}(91 \%, 87 \%$ purity ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis)); mp 161-164 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{mp} 171-175$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); FT-IR (KBr) 3393, 3113, 2984, 2934, 1728, $1690 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (lit. ${ }^{11}$ IR (KBr) $3425,3330,3270,1705,1670 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMF- $d_{7}$ ) $\delta 1.35$ (s, 3 H ), $2.72-2.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.05-$ 3.13 (m, 1 H ), 3.49 (dd, $J=5.9,10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.71 (dd, $J=5.9,10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86-4.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.21$ (d, $J=$ $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.65(\mathrm{t}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.45$ (s, 1 H$), 7.09$ (s, 1 H$), 8.98$ (s, 1 H$), 9.35$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMF- $d_{7}$ ) 24.30, 42.54, 59.54, 67.87, 71.75, $76.53,85.57,89.67,165.88,169.77,203.88 \mathrm{ppm}$; the proposed structure was in agreement with the COSY, HMQC, and HMBC NMR data; MS (+CI) $305[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}$; $M_{\mathrm{r}}(+\mathrm{CI}) 304.09058[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ 304.09067 ).

Preparation of (1'S,2'S,6S)-6-(Carboxyethoxy)-6-(2-methyl-1,2,3-trihydroxypropyl)piperazine-2,3,5trione ${ }^{24}$ (4). Compound 2 ( $5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.016 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in THF- $d_{8}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and then monitored by NMR spectroscopy.

Compound 2: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (THF- $d_{8}$ ) after $10 \mathrm{~min}: \delta 1.31$ (s, 3 H ), 2.60-2.80 (m, 1 H ), 2.98-3.15 (m, 1 H ), 3.453.62 (m, 2 H ), $3.88-3.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}), 4.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.84$ (s, 1 H ), $6.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 8.31 (s, 1 H$), 9.10$ (s, 1 H ); the $\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{OH}$ was not observed and is believed to overlap with the solvent peak. A small amount of 4 ( $\sim 10 \%$ ) was also detected. Compound 4: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.23$ (s, 3 H ); the other signals overlapped with nearby peaks.
Compound 4: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (THF- $d_{8}$ ) after $30 \mathrm{~h}: \delta 1.23$ (s, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.48-2.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.42$ (d, $J=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45-$ 3.60 (m, 1 H ), 3.67 (d, $J=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.81 (d, $J=7.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84-4.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.31(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.80(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, 1 H ), 5.11 (d, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.54 (s, 2 H ), 11.10 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1$ H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR 24.14, 34.95, 61.32, 67.40, 76.29, 76.67, $92.58,155.23,157.14,169.35,172.59 \mathrm{ppm}$. Noticeable amounts of $2(\sim 9 \%)$ and $3(\sim 21 \%)$ were also present in the NMR sample. Compound 2: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.31$ (s, 3 H), 2.60-2.80(m, 1 H ), 2.98-3.15 (m, 1 H ), 4.08 (d, $J=$ $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ); the remaining peaks were not clearly discerned and overlapped with other signals. Compound 3: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; the remaining peaks were not clearly discerned and overlapped with other signals; ${ }^{13}$ C NMR 17.32, 34.86, 61.22, 66.31, 72.83, 85.05, 88.96, $161.57,162.45,168.70,172.50 \mathrm{ppm}$. The identity of 3 was verified by the selective increase of the signals attributed to $\mathbf{3}$ after the addition of an authentic sample of 3 to the NMR solution.

The THF- $d_{8}$ solution was stirred for a total of 48 h under Ar, and then the solvent was removed in vacuo to give 4: yield 5 mg ( $\sim 70 \%$ purity, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis); MS $(+\mathrm{CI}) 321[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+} ; M_{\mathrm{r}}(+\mathrm{CI}) 321.09221[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{9} 321.09341$ ). Attempted purification of the reaction mixture by preparative TLC led to the production of 3.

Oxidation of Bicyclomycin (1) with $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$. To an aqueous solution ( 1 mL ) of $1(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.165 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}(1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.004 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\sim 30 \%$, $0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~h})$ and then concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by preparative TLC ( $30 \% \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) to give 10 ( 11 mg , $20 \%$ ) and 11 ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 44 \%$ ).

8,10-Diaza-5,6-dihydroxy-5-(hydroxymethyl)-1-(2-methyl-1,2,3-trihydroxypropyl)-2-oxabicyclo[4.2.2]-decane-7,9-dione (10): $\mathrm{mp} 180^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{dec}$ (lit. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{mp} \mathrm{180-}$ $185{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec); $R_{f} 0.10$ ( $30 \% \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) ; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,16.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.09 (dd, $J=8.1,16.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80-4.01$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) 24.19,36.26,61.74,66.02,68.47$, 72.28, 78.10, 80.60, 85.19, 89.78, 162.63, 172.29 ppm ; MS (+CI) $337[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+} ; M_{\mathrm{r}}(+\mathrm{CI}) 337.12463[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{9} 337.124$ 71).
(3S,4S,5S)-3-(4-Hydroxy-3-oxobutoxy)-4-(hy-droxymethyl)-5-methyl-3-oxamido- $\gamma$-lactone ${ }^{24}$ (11): $\mathrm{mp} 175{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; $R_{f} 0.30\left(30 \% \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 1.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.65-2.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73-3.83$ (m, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05-4.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) 17.43, 38.48, 60.50, 66.34, 69.17, 72.59, 86.06, 88.58, 162.18, 162.74, 169.18, 210.53 ppm ; MS (+CI) $335[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+} ; M_{\mathrm{r}}(+\mathrm{CI}) 335.10861[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{9} 335.109$ 06).

Preparation of ( $1^{\prime} S, 2^{\prime} S, 6 S$ )-6-(Carboxyethoxy)-6-(1-hydroxy-2,3-O,O-isopropylidene-2-methyl-2,3-di-oxapropyl)piperazine-2,3,5-trione ${ }^{24}$ (14). Oxidation of Bicyclomycin $C\left(2^{\prime}\right), C\left(3^{\prime}\right)$-Acetonide (13) with $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}-\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$. To a dioxane solution ( 1 mL ) containing 13 ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.029 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were successively added a 2-methyl-2-propanol solution of $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}(2.5 \%, 5 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ and an aqueous solution ( 1 mL ) of $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}(19 \mathrm{mg}, 0.088 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and then the mixture was stirred at room temperature ( 2 h ). The reaction mixture was filtered, and the residue was concentrated in vacuo and purified by preparative TLC ( $20 \% \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) to give 14 ( $7 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \%$ ): mp $168{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f} 0.10\left(20 \% \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; $\mathrm{FT}-\mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}) 3450$, 3297 (br), 1711, 1588, 1427, 1384, 1257, $1093 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.38-2.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.50-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74-3.80$ (m, 3 $\mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) 23.69$, 26.57, 28.08, 37.77, 63.08, 72.61, 78.04, 84.61, 91.56, $110.67,157.67,160.30,171.51 \mathrm{ppm}$; the remaining signal was not detected; MS (+CI) $361[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+} ; M_{\mathrm{r}}(+\mathrm{CI})$ $361.12578[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{9} 361.12471$ ).

Preparation of 8,10-Diaza-5,6-dihydroxy-1-(2-meth-yl-1,2,3-trihydroxypropyl)-2-oxabicyclo[4.2.2]decane-7,9-dione (15). $\mathrm{NaCNBH}_{3}$ Reduction of Bicyclomy-cin-5-norketone (2). To an ethanolic solution ( 10 mL ) of $2(25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added $\mathrm{NaCNBH}_{3}(6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and HOAc ( $6 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The solution was stirred at room temperature ( 4 h ) during which time the solution became cloudy. TLC analysis indicated the presence of one major product. The solvent was removed
in vacuo, and the residue was dissolved in a minimum amount of MeOH and purified by preparative TLC ( $40 \%$ $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ). Compound 15 was obtained as a solid: yield 14 mg ( $57 \%$ ); mp $157-159^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $R_{f} 0.10$ ( $20 \% \mathrm{MeOH}-$ $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 1.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.82-2.02(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.06-2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.67$ (d, $J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.68-3.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.91-3.98$ (m, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.04-4.16$ (m, 1 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3^{-}}$ OD) $24.17,34.77,60.39,68.36,72.28,78.08,79.05,83.69$, $89.50,168.98,171.44 \mathrm{ppm}$.

Preparation of 8,10-Diaza-5,6-dihydroxy-1-(2-meth-yl-1,2,3-trihydroxypropyl)-2-oxabicyclo[4.2.2]decane-7,9-dione (15). Catalytic Reduction of Bicyclomycin5 -norketone (2). To an ethanolic solution ( 10 mL ) of 2 $(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(10 \%, 5 \mathrm{mg})$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature under an atmosphere of $\mathrm{H}_{2}(24 \mathrm{~h})$. The reaction mixture was filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. TLC analysis indicated the presence of only $\mathbf{1 5}$. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was purified by preparative TLC ( $20 \% \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) to give 15 as a solid: yield 36 mg ( $72 \%$ ); mp $159-160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $R_{f} 0.10(20 \% \mathrm{Me}-$ $\mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); FT-IR ( KBr ) 3399, 3273, 2947, $1690 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 1.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.05-2.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.67-3.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90-3.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08-4.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right)$ $24.14,34.74,60.39,68.35,72.25,78.10,79.01,83.69$, 89.50, 168.98, 171.44 ppm ; MS (+CI) $307[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+} ; M_{\mathrm{r}}$ $(+\mathrm{CI}) 307.11303[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ 307.114 14).

Preparation of 8,10-Diaza-5,6-dihydroxy-1-(2-meth-yl-1,2,3-trihydroxypropyl)-2-oxabicyclo[4.2.2]decane7,9 -dione (15). To an anhydrous methanolic solution (35 mL ) of $1(70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol})$ maintained at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was passed $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ until a blue color appeared ( $\sim 2 \mathrm{~min}$ ). The solution was transferred to a hydrogenation vessel, and then a catalytic amount of $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{mg})$ was added to the solution at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was evacuated and then $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ( 30 psi ) introduced into the reaction chamber. This procedure was repeated three times. The reaction was then stirred under $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ( 30 psi ) for 3 h during which time the solution was slowly warmed to room temperature. The reaction mixture was filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. TLC analysis indicated the presence of one major product: yield $72 \mathrm{mg}(\sim 100 \%)$; mp $159-161{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \boldsymbol{R}_{f} 0.10$ ( $20 \% \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); FT-IR ( KBr ) $3399,3273,2947,1690 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta$ $1.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.05-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.67-3.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90-3.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.08-4.20(m, 1 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) 24.14,34.73$, $60.39,68.35,72.25,78.10,79.01,83.69,89.50,168.98$, 171.44 ppm .

Preparation of 8,10-Diaza-5,6-dihydroxy-1-(1-hy-droxy-2,3-O,O-isopropylidene-2-methyl-2,3-dioxapro-pyl)-2-oxabicyclo[4.2.2]decane-7,9-dione (17). To an anhydrous methanolic solution ( 30 mL ) of $13(60 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.175 mmol ) maintained at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was passed $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ until a blue color appeared. The solution was transferred to a hydrogenation vessel, and then a catalytic amount of $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{mg})$ was added to the solution at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was evacuated and then $\mathrm{H}_{2}(30 \mathrm{psi})$ introduced into the reaction chamber. This procedure was repeated three times. The reaction was then stirred under $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ( 30 psi ) for 3 h during which time the solution was slowly warmed to room temperature. The reaction
mixture was filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. TLC analysis indicated the presence of one major product: yield $58 \mathrm{mg}(96 \%)$; $\mathrm{mp} 194-197^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f} 0.36$ ( $20 \%$ $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); FT-IR (KBr) 3512, 3431, 3306, 2988, $1688 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 1.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 6$ $\mathrm{H}), 1.75-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73-3.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85-3.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.10-4.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ $\mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) 25.05,26.79,28.23,34.74,61.52$, $73.11,79.04,83.69,86.43,89.22,111.62,168.54,170.99$ ppm; MS (+CI) $347[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+} ; M_{\mathrm{r}}(+\mathrm{CI}) 347.14589[\mathrm{M}+$ $1]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8} 347.14544$ ). The proposed structure was verified by X-ray crystallography (supporting information, Figure 2).

Preparation of 8,10-Diaza-5,6-dihydroxy-1-(2-meth-yl-1,2,3-trihydroxypropyl)-2-oxabicyclo[4.2.2]decane-7,9-dione (15). Deprotection of 17. A $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ solution ( $1: 1,5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) of $17(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ was acidified (" pH " 1.8 ) with dilute aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(0.2 \mathrm{~N}$ ) and then heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~h})$. The solution was neutralized with a saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ aqueous solution. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was purified by preparative TLC ( $20 \% \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) to give 15 as a white solid: yield $15 \mathrm{mg}(47 \%) ; R_{f} 0.10$ (cospotted with an authentic sample, $20 \% \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{( } \mathrm{CD}_{3}-$ OD) $\delta 1.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.05-2.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H), $3.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.69-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87-3.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.10-4.22 (m, 1 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $24.20,34.88$, $60.42,68.50,72.37,78.12,79.15,83.71,89.62,169.03$, 171.53 ppm .

Preparation of 5-Anilino-8,10-diaza-6-hydroxy-1-(2-methyl-1,2,3-trihydroxypropyl)-2-oxabicyclo[4.2.2]-decane-7,9-dione (19). Catalytic Reductive Amination of Bicyclomycin-5-norketone (2)-Aniline Mixtures. An anhydrous ethanolic solution ( 20 mL ) of 2 (26 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.086 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and aniline ( $16 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was stirred at room temperature ( 1 h ) followed by the addition of $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ catalyst ( $10 \%, 5 \mathrm{mg}$ ). The mixture was stirred at room temperature under an atmosphere of $\mathrm{H}_{2}(24 \mathrm{~h})$. The reaction mixture was filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. TLC analysis indicated the presence of two major products. The residue was purified by preparative TLC ( $20 \% \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) to give 15 ( 11 mg , $42 \%$ ) and 19 ( $14 \mathrm{mg}, 43 \%$ ).

Compound 15: mp $157-159^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f} 0.10(20 \% \mathrm{MeOH}-$ $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 1.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-2.00(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.05-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90-3.99(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08-4.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; the identity of 15 was verified by cospotting on TLC with an authentic sample.

Compound 19: $\mathrm{mp} 144-146^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f} 0.45(20 \% \mathrm{MeOH}-$ $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); FT-IR (KBr) $3401,3287,2940,1688 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 1.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-2.20 .(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.54$ (d, $J=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72-$ $3.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05-4.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.62-$ $6.70(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right)$ $24.19,32.73,61.75,64.71,68.49,72.19,78.17,83.20$, $89.64,114.83,118.93,130.08,148.71,168.20,171.88 \mathrm{ppm}$; $\mathrm{MS}(+\mathrm{CI}) 382[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+} ; M_{\mathrm{r}}(+\mathrm{CI}) 382.16099[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7} 382.16143$ ).

Preparation of 5-Anilino-8,10-diaza-6-hydroxy-1-(2-methyl-1,2,3-trihydroxypropyl)-2-oxabicyclo[4.2.2]-decane-7,9-dione (19). $\mathrm{NaCNBH}_{3}$ Reductive Amination of C(5) Bicyclomycin-5-norketone (2)-Aniline Mixtures. To an anhydrous ethanolic solution ( 10 mL )

Table 1. Data Collection and Processing Parameters for Compound 3

|  | compd 3 |
| :---: | :---: |
| space gr | $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$ (orthorhombic) |
| cell constants |  |
| $a(\AA)$ | 7.530(1) |
| $b$ ( $\AA$ ) | 11.333(2) |
| $c(\AA)$ | 16.722(3) |
| $v\left({ }^{3}\right)$ | 1427 |
| molecular formula | $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{9}$ |
| formula wt | 320.29 |
| formula units per cell $Z$ | 4 |
| density $Q\left(\mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{cm}} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}\right)$ | 1.49 |
| absorp coeff $\mu$ ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) | 1.23 |
| $T\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | -50 |
| radiatn ( MoK K ) $\lambda$ ( $\AA$ ) | 0.71073 |
| collection range (deg) | $4 \leq 2 \Theta \leq 55$ |
| scan width $\Delta \Theta$ (deg) | $1.25+\left(K \alpha_{2}-K \alpha_{1}\right)$ |
| scan speed range (deg- $\mathrm{min}^{-1}$ ) | 1.5-15.0 |
| total data collected | 1899 |
| independent data, $I>3 \sigma(I)$ | 1563 |
| total variables | 218 |
| $R=\Sigma\| \| F_{0}\left\|-\left\|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right\| / \Sigma \bar{\Sigma}^{\prime}\right\| \mathrm{F}_{0} \mid$ | 0.035 |
| $R_{\text {w }}=\left[\Sigma w\left(\left\|F_{0}\right\|-\left\|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right\|\right)^{2} / \Sigma w\left\|F_{0}\right\|^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}$ | 0.031 |
| weights $w$ | $\sigma(\mathrm{F})^{-2}$ |

of $2(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added aniline ( $26 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.29$ mmol ). The solution was stirred at room temperature $(3 \mathrm{~h})$ followed by the addition of $\mathrm{NaCNBH}_{3}(19 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30$ mmol ). The solution became cloudy after 10 min and was stirred at room temperature for an additional 20 h . The solvent was removed, and the residue was purified by preparative TLC ( $20 \% \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) to give $15(25 \mathrm{mg}$, $51 \%)$ and $19(13 \mathrm{mg}, 21 \%)$.

Compound 15: mp 157-159 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $R_{f} 0.10(20 \% \mathrm{MeOH}-$ $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, cospot with authentic sample); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 1.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.05-2.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.53 (d, $J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.68 (d, $J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.67-3.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90-4.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.04-4.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

Compound 19: $\mathrm{mp} 144-146{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f} 0.45(20 \% \mathrm{MeOH}-$ $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, cospot with an authentic sample); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.33$ (s, 3 H ), $1.90-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.68 (d, $J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.71-3.82$ (m, 2 H ), 4.06 (s, 1 H), 4.05-4.20 (m, 1 H ), 6.61-6.70 (m, 3H), 7.10 (t, $J=$ $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) 24.20,32.79,61.84$, $64.76,68.51,72.32,78.15,83.25,89.65,114.90,118.99$, $130.08,148.71,168.14,171.87 \mathrm{ppm}$.

Crystallographic Procedure for (3S,4S,5S)-3-(2-(Carboxyethoxy)-4-hydroxy-5-(hydroxymethyl)-5-methyl-3-oxamido- $\gamma$-lactone ${ }^{24}$ (3). A colorless square block having approximate dimensions $0.25 \times 0.25 \times 0.50$ mm was mounted in a random orientation on a Nicolet $\mathrm{R} 3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{V}$ automatic diffractometer. The sample was placed in a stream of dry $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ gas at $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the radiation used was Mo K $\alpha$ monochromatized by a highly ordered graphite crystal. Final cell constants, as well as other information pertinent to data collection and refinement for 3, are listed in Table 1. The Laue symmetry for 3 was determined to be mmm , and from the systematic
absences noted the space group was shown unambiguously to be $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$. Intensities were measured using the $\omega$ scan technique, with the scan rate depending on the count obtained in rapid prescans of each reflection. Two standard reflections were monitored after every 2 h or every 100 data collected, and these showed no significant variation. During data reduction Lorentz and polarization corrections were applied; however, no correction for absorption was made due to the very small absorption coefficient.
The structure was solved by the SHELXTL direct methods program, which revealed the positions of all of the non-hydrogen atoms in the molecule. The usual sequence of isotropic and anisotropic refinement was followed, after which all hydrogens were located in difference maps. Those hydrogens attached to carbon were moved to ideal calculated positions and constrained to riding motion. The hydrogens attached to nitrogen or oxygen in 3 were allowed to refine independently. A single variable isotropic temperature factor was used for all hydrogens.
The absolute configuration of 3 was arbitrarily set so as to match that of the known starting material, which is $S$ at both $\mathrm{C}(13)$ and $\mathrm{C}(15)$. After all shift/esd ratios were less than 0.1 convergence was reached at the agreement factors listed in Table 1. No unusually high correlations were noted between any of the variables in the last cycle of full-matrix least-squares refinement, and the final difference density map showed a maximum peak of about $0.2 \mathrm{e} / \AA^{3}$ for 3 . All calculations were made using Nicolet's SHELXTL PLUS (1987) series of crystallographic programs. The author has deposited atomic coordinates for 3 with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre. The coordinates can be obtained, on request, from the Director, Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge, CB2 1EZ, UK.
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